# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Jan Honzíček,<sup>a</sup> Jaromír Vinklárek,<sup>a</sup>\* Milan Erben<sup>a</sup> and Ivana Císařová<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Pardubice, Čs. legií 565, 532 10 Pardubice, Czech Republic, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Charles University, Hlavova 2030, 128 40 Prague 2, Czech Republic

Correspondence e-mail: jaromir.vinklarek@upce.cz

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.034 wR factor = 0.087 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.  $\mu$ -Oxo-bis[azidobis( $\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)titanium(IV)]

The title compound,  $[Ti_2(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_4(N_3)_2O]$ , is a dinuclear oxobridged azido complex with two titanocene fragments connected through the oxygen bridge. The environment around each Ti atom can be considered as a distorted tetrahedron.

Received 21 June 2004 Accepted 1 July 2004 Online 9 July 2004

#### Comment

The title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), is the main product of the reaction of titanocene dichloride (TDC) with sodium azide in aqueous solution. It is a dinuclear oxo-bridged complex in which two titanocene units are connected via an oxygen bridge. The molecule has approximately  $C_2$  symmetry and, similar to other oxo-bridged dinuclear complexes (Raptopoulou et al., 1996), the Ti-O-Ti moiety is almost linear [Ti- $O-Ti = 172.03 (8)^{\circ}$ ]. The coordination at each titanium(IV) center approaches tetrahedral geometry [Cg-Ti-Cg =131.08 (4) and 131.67 (5)°, and N-Ti-O = 95.39 (7) and 95.57 (7)°; Cg is the centroid of the cyclopentadienyl ring]. The cyclopentadienyl rings have staggered conformations in both titanocene fragments with Cg-Ti bond lengths ranging from 2.0752 (11) to 2.0884 (12) Å. The Ti-N bond lengths [2.091 (2) and 2.0926 (19) Å] are slightly longer than those in the diazide titanocene complex  $Cp_2Ti(NNN)_2$  (2.03 Å; Villa et al., 1976) and comparable with those in the azide complexes  $[Ti(NMe_2)_3(N_3)(bipy)],$  $[Ti(NMe_2)_2(N_3)_2(py)_2]$ and [Ti(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(N<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(bipy)] (2.07–2.15 Å; Carmalt et al., 1997). In (I), the coordinated azide groups are almost linear: N1-N2- $N3 = 177.1 (3)^{\circ}$  and  $N4 - N5 - N6 = 177.6 (2)^{\circ}$ .



The very strong band in the IR spectrum of (I) at 724 cm<sup>-1</sup> [ $\nu_a$ (Ti–O)] is typical for dinuclear oxo-bridged titanocene compounds (Samuel, 1966). Furthermore, the positive-ion ESI mass spectrum shows [M + K]<sup>+</sup> (495) and [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (479) ions, which is entirely consistent with the structure of (I).

### **Experimental**

The title compound was prepared according to a literature procedure (Coutts & Wailes, 1966), with some modifications.  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2TiCl_2$  (0.5 g, 2.0 mmol) was dissolved in boiling water (30 ml). To this solution, sodium azide (0.3 g, 4.6 mmol) was added and the mixture was cooled rapidly to room temperature. The orange precipitate was

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), showing 30% displacement ellipsoids.

filtered off, washed with water and vacuum dried (0.40 g, yield 78%). Yellow crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by careful layering of a CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution with a double volume of hexane. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, p.p.m.): 6.37 (s, Cp). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, p.p.m.): 117.0 (s, Cp). Positive-ion MS (ESI): m/z 551  $[M + Na + methanol]^+$ , 495 [M $(+ K]^{+}, 479 [M + Na]^{+}, 414 [M - N_3]^{+} (100\%), 220 [Cp_2TiN_3]^{+}, 195$  $[Cp_2TiOH]^+$ . Positive-ion MS/MS of 479: m/z 414  $[M + Na - Cp]^+$ ,  $391 [M - Cp]^+$  (100%), 339, 316, 293. IR (KBr pellet, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3118 (m, v<sub>a</sub>C-H, Cp), 3091 (sh, v<sub>s</sub>C-H, Cp), 2074 (vs, v<sub>a</sub>N-N), 1986 (m, ν<sub>s</sub>N–N), 1448 (*m*, ν<sub>a</sub>C–C, Cp), 1346 (*s*, ν<sub>a</sub>C–C, Cp), 1262 (*m*, δ C– H, Cp), 1022 (s, δ C–H), 822 (vs, γC–H), 724 (vs, ν<sub>a</sub>Ti–O) 598 (s), 408 (s). Raman (quartz capillary, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3112 (4) (v<sub>a</sub>C-H, Cp), 3096 (*sh*, *v*<sub>s</sub>C-H, Cp), 2096 (<1), 2066 (1) (*v*<sub>s</sub>N-N), 1443 (1) (*v*<sub>a</sub>C-C), 1366 (1), 1349 (2) (v<sub>a</sub>C-C, Cp), 1131 (10) (v<sub>s</sub>C-C), 1082 (<1), 1071 (<1), 1020 (<1), 945 (<1), 866 (<1), 843 (<1), 423 (1), 406 (1), 343 (6), 267 (9), 240 (7), 185 (7), 104 (6).

#### Crystal data

$[Ti_2(C_5H_5)_4(N_3)_2O]$	$D_x = 1.540 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 456.22$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 4685
a = 15.2860 (4)  Å	reflections
b = 8.3620(2) Å	$\theta = 1-27.5^{\circ}$
c = 15.6900 (4) Å	$\mu = 0.84 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 101.0860 \ (16)^{\circ}$	T = 150 (2)  K
$V = 1968.10 (9) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, yellow
Z = 4	$0.30 \times 0.27 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Nonius KappaCCD area-detector	$R_{\rm int} = 0.047$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

 $= -19 \rightarrow 19$ 

 $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ 

 $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$ 

$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	
28 006 measured reflections	
4508 independent reflections	
3429 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.041P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	+ 0.7094P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.087$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.03	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
4508 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
262 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å,°).

Cg <sub>1</sub> -Ti1	2.0884 (12)	Ti1-O1	1.8310 (14)
$Cg_2$ -Ti1	2.0752 (11)	Ti2-O1	1.8311 (14)
$Cg_3-Ti2$	2.0890 (11)	Ti1-N1	2.0926 (19)
$Cg_4$ —Ti2	2.0850 (10)	Ti2-N4	2.091 (2)
$Cg_1 - \text{Ti}1 - Cg_2$	131.08 (4)	O1-Ti1-N1	95.39 (7)
$Cg_3$ -Ti2- $Cg_4$	131.67 (5)	O1-Ti2-N4	95.57 (7)
N1-N2	1.171 (3)	Ti1-N1-N2	129.60 (16)
N2-N3	1.160 (3)	Ti2-N4-N5	129.81 (17)
N4-N5	1.138 (3)	N1-N2-N3	177.1 (3)
N5-N6	1.187 (3)	N4-N5-N6	177.6 (2)

Notes:  $Cg_1$  = centroid of atoms C1–C5,  $Cg_2$  = centroid of atoms C6–C10,  $Cg_3$  = centroid of atoms C11–C15 and  $Cg_4$  = centroid of atoms C16–C20.

All H atoms were positioned geometrically and treated as riding on their parent C atoms, with C-H = 0.93 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ .

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Hooft, 1998) and *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); cell refinement: *COLLECT* and *DENZO*; data reduction: *COLLECT* and *DENZO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

This work was supported by a grant of the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic (No. CZ 340003).

### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Carmalt, C. J., Cowley, A. H., Culp, R. D., Jones, R. A., Sun, Y. M., Fitts, B., Whaley, S. & Roesky, H. W. (1997). *Inorg. Chem.* 36, 3108–3112.
- Coutts, R. S. P. & Wailes, P. C. (1966). Aust. J. Chem. 19, 2069-2072.
- Hooft, R. W. (1998). COLLECT. Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M.
- Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press. Raptopoulou, C. P., Tzavellas, N. & Klouras, N. (1996). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 622, 1387–1391.
- Samuel, E. (1966). Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. pp. 3548-0000.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.

Villa, A. C., Manfredotti, A. G. & Guastini, C. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 909– 914.